PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 04P00091			erence	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No.				International filing da	te (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/JP2005/001853			01853	08.02.200	5	18.02.2004
Internati	ional Pat	ent Classifica	ation (IPC) or natio	onal classification and	IPC	
B41	J11/	00 (200	06.01), E	341J11/42 (2	2006.01)	
		•	. ,		•	
Application SEI		NSTRUM	MENTS INC	2.		
1.		~		ninary examination rep e applicant according t	•	s International Preliminary Examining Authority
2.	This R	EPORT cons	ists of a total of	6	sheets, includ	ing this cover sheet.
3.	This re	eport is also a	ccompanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:		
	a.	sent to	the applicant and	to the International Bu	reau) a total of	sheets, as follows:
		sh				n amended and are the basis for this report and/or Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative
			e disclosure in the			onsiders contain an amendment that goes beyond ed in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental
	ь. Г	(sent to	the International E	Bureau only) a total of	(indicate type and num	ber of electronic carrier(s))
				• •		
				readable form only, a rative Instructions).	s indicated in the Supp	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables blemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see
4.	This re	port contains	indications relatir	ng to the following item	ns:	
	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	report		
		Box No. II	Priority			
		Box No. III	Non-establis	shment of opinion with	regard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability
		Box No. IV	Lack of unit	y of invention		
		Box No. V		atement under Article : l explanations supporti		velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
		Box No. VI	Certain docu	aments cited		
	\boxtimes	Box No. VI	I Certain defe	cts in the international	application	
	<u>Ш</u>	Box No. VI	II Certain obse	ervations on the interna	tional application	
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this r				this report		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP					Authorized officer	
En agire-:1	la Na				Talanhana Na	

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/001853

Box	No. I	[Basis of the report		
1.		_	d to the language, this report is based on the internation nder this item.	al application in the language in which it	was filed, unless otherwise
			report is based on translations from the original languag h is the language of a translation furnished for the purpo		,
		님	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		H	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)		
	*****	Ш	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o		
2.	rece		d to the elements of the international application, this in Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are in:		
		the in	nternational application as originally filed/furnished		
	Ш	the de	escription:		
		pages	s		as originally filed/furnished
		pages	S*	received by this Authority on	
		pages	S*	received by this Authority on	
		the cl	laims:		
		nos.			as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*		as amended (together with a	ny statement) under Article 19
		nos.*		received by this Authority on	
		nos.*		received by this Authority on	
		the di	rawings:		
		sheet	s		as originally filed/furnished
		sheet			
		sheet		received by this Authority on	-
	П		uence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppleme		
3	$\overline{\Box}$	_	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
Э.			the description, pages		
		一	the claims, nos.		
		一			
		一			_
		一			
4.		This	report has been established as if (some of) the amenda		
	ш	they l	have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file	•	(Rule 70.2(c)).
		H	the description, pages		
		H	the claims, nos.		
		\vdash	the drawings, sheets/figs		
			the sequence listing (specify):		
		Ш	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	ет 4 ар	oplies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe	rseded."	

PCT/JP2005/001853

Box		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-5	YES	
		Claims		_ NO	
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2	_ YES	
		Claims	1, 3-5	_ NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5	_ YES	
		Claims		_ NO	

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

- Document 1: JP 2003-326779 A (Canon Inc.), 19 November 2003, paragraph [0021]
- Document 2: JP 11-320989 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 24 November 1999, paragraph [0027]
- Document 3: JP 2003-182168 A (Muto Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha), 03 July 2003, paragraphs [0006] and [0010]

The invention set forth in claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1 and document 2 cited in the international search report. The ink jet printer that is disclosed in document 1 and the printing device that is disclosed in document 2 both belong to closely related technical fields; therefore, it would have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of applying the carrying method (normal rotation, reverse rotation, normal rotation) for preventing the adhesion of the recording paper in the invention disclosed in document 2 in order to prevent the adhesion of the recording paper in the ink jet printer disclosed in document 1, which is equipped with a platen that has a suction means.

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001853

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

When applying the abovementioned method, a person skilled in the art could determine the timing for carrying out the adhesion prevention method disclosed in document 2 in the printer disclosed in document 1, and the configuration wherein said method is implemented prior to the carrying operation in each path is merely a simple design matter.

The invention set forth in claim 2 involves an inventive step in relation to the documents that are cited in the international search report. Document 2 does not disclose the feature wherein [the paper] is repeatedly carried in the normal direction for a distance (L1) and then in the reverse direction for a distance (L1 + Δ L1) a plurality (n number) of times, whereafter [the paper] is carried in the normal direction for a distance (n x Δ L1). On the other hand, the invention set forth in claim 2 of the present application exhibits an advantageous effect in that it is possible to reliably pull off the paper as a result of the feature in question, even in cases when the paper tends to stick.

The invention set forth in claim 3 does not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1 and document 2 cited in the international search report. When applying the carrying method disclosed in document 2 in the invention disclosed in document 1, the exact distance that the paper is carried is merely a design matter.

The inventions set forth in claims 4 and 5 do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 3 cited in the international search report. Document 3 discloses an ink jet printer wherein the platen is equipped with a heater and the ink is a solvent-based ink. Such being the case, it would have been easy for a

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001853

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
person skilled in the art to conceive of applying the
configurations in question in the ink jet printer
disclosed in document 1.

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/001853

Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
The following de	efects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:
	Claim 3 sets forth the "image formation device
claim	ed in claim 2 or claim 3"; however, the disclosure
in qu	estion is in error, and should instead indicate the
"imag	e formation device claimed in claim 1 or claim 2."